Investigation of Organizational Culture in Companies in high rate polluted Countries: Review of existing Evidence and Application of the new Vox Organizationis Model

Marjan Bojadjiev, PhD provost@uacs.edu.mk

Miodraga Stefanovska-Petkovska, PhD stefanovska@uacs.edu.mk

Ana Tomovska Misoska, PhD tomovska@uacs.edu.mk

Ivona Mileva, MSc <u>ivona.mileva@uacs.edu.mk</u>

School of Business Economics and Management University American College Skopje

INTRODUCTION

The public awareness for environmentally issues and specifically pollution began to grow during the 1960s and 1970s. The growing of environmental awareness result in increased focus on the impact of the business on environment and the other way around.

Businesses can improve the society in terms of innovation, wealth creation and employment. However, although they improve the living conditions in certain ways, businesses are considered to be responsible for the environmental issues. This is due to the creation of toxic materials. The pollution-generating activities of unregulated business create a huge number of issues on the people's health and the ecosystem. (Sharma and Gani,2009).Different studies show that concentrations for greenhouse gas exceeded the amount of carbon dioxide that has been naturally produced for centuries and that the change in climate led to increased cases in the major diseases (United Nations Development Progam, 2007). Moreover, businesses are considered as job cre-

ators. They do expand the different range of foods and services to make the people's life easier and better and they are main contributors of increased income and productivity. So, in that sense, they help the developing countries in poverty reducing issue, although their businesses activities must be environmentally sustainable (Costantini and Monni, 2008).

In addition, with the transition process in developing countries, a significant change was seen in terms of economy as well as in environmental regulations. In other words, the transition brought the trend of producing pollution-intensive goods, thus gaining competitive advantage in the export of those goods (Wilson, Otsuki, & Sewadeh, 2002). This trend was an result of introduction of strict regulations in terms of environment in the developed countries, where the production of pollution-intensive goods is considered as not economically justified. As a result, the production of environmental friendly products were specialized in the developed countries, while the countries in transition focus on gaining an advantage through production of pollution-intensive goods (Sharma and Gani,2009).

In light of the concerns raised by environmentalists, this paper studies the core of the business companies in a highly polluted countries, an area which has reminded relatively unexplored in business field literature. The prime focus on this paper is to investigate the organizational cultures of the companies in the countries which show high rate of pollution. So, the focus is on the developing countries, because millions of the world's poorest and vulnerable people which face the environmental changes live there.

ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE

As an important tool for understanding and managing organizations, culture influences how people think and behave (Hofstede,1997). Due to its importance, the culture is one of the most popular concepts to be investigated in the area of organizational behavior for many researchers and scientists.

There are different definitions and perspectives on the organizational culture and therefore, the organizational culture is difficult to be recognized in the real environment. However, what all researchers agree about is that the organizational culture is difficult in terms of measuring, systematizing and defining under the same umbrella.

While understanding the organizational culture, many authors use the work of Harrison (1972), as a foundation of their studies. Harrison (1972) sees a organizational culture as "distinctive constellation of beliefs, values, work styles and relationships that distinguish one organization from another."

According to Schein (2004), organizational culture is a process which shapes the behavior and norms of a certain organization. He explains the organizational culture through the concept of iceberg, one of the most common visualization on organizational culture. The top of the iceberg represents the formal part of the organization, while the iceberg's surface represent the informal part of the organization. He claims that the culture was exerted trough artefacts, perspectives, values and assumptions. On the other hand, Hofstede (1997), sees a culture as "software of the mind", similar to a computer programs that control behaviours" and according to his perspective, the culture is consisted of four elements: symbols, heroes, rituals and values.

In addition, another contribution was made by Cammeron and Quinn (2011), according to which the culture is more concern about the classifications of various core values within the organization. A culture framework is designed based on four dimensions through which the core values for the organizational culture are defined: hierarchy, adhocracy, market and hierarchy dimension. The latest business experiences show the organizational culture is a pivotal for effective performance. Studies show that the organizational culture can have an impact over the financial and corporate performance of the organization (Ogbonna and Harris,2000, Cameron and Quinn, 2011). In other words, the profitability indicators are strongly linked with the strength of the culture. Additionally, through the culture, the organizations promote their culture values along with their chosen strategies (Peters and Waterman,1982).

ENVIRONMENTAL VALUES AND ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE

As part of our value system, the environmental values are considered as "durable concepts or convictions which relate to the desired behaviour, unfold in various situations, provide orientation when evaluating events and are organized in an order of relative importance" (Hofmeister Tóth/Tör csik 1996). Their evidence often lead to value conflicts between the individuals and/or between the individual and the organizations. In this direction, the synchronization of the indi-

vidual's values and the organizational values is very important, since they are base of a stable and long-lasting organizational culture.

Harris and Crane (2002) argue that the values of the managers are focused on the organization, so in case of conflict between the two, they question the acceptance on the individual's value over organization's values. Depending on the fit between the environmental considerations into strategies of the organization ,this can be seen from both, positive and negative perspective. Since the environmental performance of the company is influenced by the manager's personal value (Kerekes et al. 1999), it is of significant importance the value system, that is transferred within the organization, from the management to the employees.

While the focus is on organization's value system, one should take into consideration whether the organization acts in a moral and ethical way, and whether this activities are ascribed by an individual while the organization act in more different way; by treating it of lesser importance, to ethical questions to broader organizational goals (Moore,1999). Regardless of the outcome, the studies prove that the organization nurtures environmental values, only if those values are reflection of the organizational mission, philosophy and strategy.

It is considered that there is a link between the strength of the organizational culture and the environmental attitudes and objectives. Adaptability suggests to the extent to which an organization can adjust conduct, structures and frameworks acquired in the wake of environmental changes (Bakhsh Magsi et al.,2018). In an organization with a strong culture, the environmental protection goals do not result in organizational conflict. Additionally, because the members in organization with strong culture can easily make a link between the organizational philosophy and environmental awareness, these kind of organizations can easily achieve the environmental awareness, which is sufficient to meet the objectives (Nemcsicsné,2007).

On the other hand, if the environmental issues require fundamental changes in the organizational attitudes, unlike the weak culture organizations, the organizations characterized with strong cultures, find it very hard or even impossible to correspond and fit in to the requirements. On the other hand, the organization with week cultures are focused on the diversity and in this case, these kind of organization can adopt better to the current changes (Bakacsi 1998). The organization

tional objectives in regards of business and environment aspect, can complicate the development process of corporate environmental awareness, except if there is a power disposing, authoritative decision-making and responsibility which nurtures the environment in all its aspects (Nemcsicsné,2007).

In conclusion, organizations that show environmental awareness, share common environmental values, which are considered as a important factor for organizational success. The environmentally awareness behavior will be seen only if the organizational members think, believe and behave as they should. Therefore, the organizational culture is of significant importance in setting up the environmental awareness.

VOX ORGANIZATIONIS

In the last decades of the previous century, the researchers come to an conclusion that the normal functioning of every single organization is almost impossible to be achieved, if there is no organizational culture within. The findings of those researchers gave the world a new and different dimension of significance of the phenomenon of organizational culture as a one of the most important factors for the success of an organization's functionality and achievements.

Regardless the fact that there are many instruments that measure the organizational culture, still there is no clear response to whether the instruments that are relevant for one organizational culture are relevant for another. It is still unclear, whether there is an instrument that corresponds both to the Eastern and Western part of the world.

VOX Ogranizations- "the voice of organizations" is a instrument for measuring the organizational alignment and it is inspired by four already existing relevant models: Competing Values Framework (Cameron and Quinn, 2006), Hofstede model of organizational culture and Denison Model.

Through this instrument, the organizational alignment is presented through two perspectives: the non-formal part which is presented by organizational culture and leadership and the formal side of organizational functioning which is presented by organizational structure, policies and strategy. Since these two aspects present the overall alignment of the organizations, both of them are of equal importance (Bojadziev et.al,2011). Therefore, this multi-element survey, it does not only

study the culture, but also the alignment between the culture and the leaders's values as well as the formal part of the organization.

The instrument proposes a typology of 4 culture types: entrepreneurial autocracy, entrepreneurial democracy, conservative or managerial autocracy and conservative or managerial autocracy. In terms of typology for leadership styles, the model is based on two dimensions: decision making styles (democratic or autocratic) and entrepreneurship and innovativeness style (entrepreneurial or risk averse). For the broader audience, VOX Organizationis relates the leadership styles with already known business figures or positions. Therefore, entrepreneurial democracy was defined as "Jack Welch Like" leadership style, entrepreneurial autocracy was defined as "Steve Jobs Like" leadership style, "Banker Like" leadership style for conservative or managerial democracy and "Army Officer Like" leadership style for conservative or managerial autocracy.

The third part of the model is the formal part of the organization which contains any formal document regarding the procedures, policies and strategic plans that can have influence over the behavior of both employees and leaders.

"In fact, what we have been trying to listen is the music performed by the organizational symphonic orchestra. Thus, we have named this approach VOX ORGANIZATIONIS — The voice of the organizations. Well trained piano tuner, will have a "fork: with resonance of 440 Hz (tune A) and then upon that he /she can tune the whole 8 octaves on the piano. Similarly, good car mechanic can identify the cause of trouble shouting, purely by listening to the sound of the engine. Hereby, we are proposing compatible instrument to measure the alignment. It provides capability to listen to: the sound of the organizations; "tune" the tones which are not tuned, and eventually create efficiency and harmony." (Bojadziev et al., 2011)

METHODOLOGY

We searched the Google Scholar, Semantic Scholar and Web of Science databases. The search was conducted using a combination of keywords, however limited to articles and abstracts in English. The search results were examined to determine the applicability for further consideration. All duplicates were removed by the research team. We identified articles that included the

application of Vox Organizationis (both new and old version) for further examination.

To characterize the use of the instrument, we followed these general steps. Primarily, basic descriptive information of the paper is provided, including the versions of the instrument. Second, the number of respondents and industry is recorded. Third, implementation characteristics associated with conducting the surveys are presented, including the sample size per facility. Fourth, performance characteristics of the instruments are presented, including the response rates and psychometric properties.

Descriptive Information

The descriptive information is contained from the general information on paper (title and authors). The section also includes the industry setting, number and types of respondents that were included in the survey, as well as the registered pollution level in the country of implementation.

Instrument Content

Furthermore, the version of the instrument is further described. The model was firstly introduced in 2011, however, due to the complexity of the model, the same was simplified to two dimensions with two axises(Bojadjiev,2019).

Implementation Characteristics

This section presents characteristics the implementation characteristics. This referrers to the mode of administration of the instrument (online or pen /paper), and whether the survey administration was accompanied by in-person interviews, telephone, mail, or drop-box.

Performance Characteristics

Finally, an overview of the performance characteristics of the survey instruments is provided. The refers to the results in the three main components of the instrument, as well as whether alignment was recorded or not.

RESULTS

Descri	ptive infor	mation			Instru- ment	Perform	nance cl	naracterist	ics
Pap er	Au- thor	Cou ntry pol- lu- tion lev- el	In- dus- try Set- ting	Num- ber of respon- dents	Old/ New Ver- sion	4C T scor e	4LT scor e	For- mal part	Alignment

	1	İ	i	İ			1	1	
Or-	Bojad-	The	Infor-	63 em-	Old	Di-	Di-	Dimen-	The only "non-
gani-	jiev.M.,	Repub-	mation	ployees		men-	men-	sion 1.:	aligned" dimen-
za-	Tomovs-	lic of	and	and 4		sion	sion	Decision	sion is the per-
tional	ka-Mis-	North	Com-	members		1.:	1.:	Making	sonal opinion of
Align	oska,A.,	Mace-	muni-	of Board		Deci-	De-	and Be-	the owners and
ment	Ste-	donia	cation	of Direc-		sion	ci-	havior :	leaders of the
in	fanovska		Tech-	tors		Mak-	sion	Bureau-	company regard-
In- for-	Petkovs- ka.M.,		nology sector			ing and	Mak-	cratic behavior	ing the Decision Making and Be-
ma-	Pe-		Sector			Behav-	ing and	Dimen-	havior dimension.
tion	shev.A.					ior:	Be-	sion 2.:	The owners pro-
and	5116 4.7 1.					Demo-	hav-	People	jected more bu-
Com						cratic	ior :	versus	reaucratic type of
mu-						behv-	De-	Task :	company, where
nica-						ior	moc-	People	the employees
tion						Di-	ratic	Dimen-	shows that the
Tech						men-	be-	sion 3.:	company func-
nolo-						sion	hav-	Innova-	tions in more de-
gy						2.:	ior	tion ver-	mocratic way.
In-						People	Di-	sus Risk	
dus-						versus	men-	Taking:	
try Case						Task : People	sion 2.:	Innova- tion	
of a						Di-	Peo-	Dimen-	
Mace						men-	ple	sion 4.:	
don-						sion	ver-	Open	
ian						3.:	sus	versus	
Com						Inno-	Task	Close:	
pany						vation	:	Open	
						versus	Peo-	Organi-	
						Risk	ple	zation	
						Taking	Di-		
						: Inno-	men- sion		
						vation Di-	3.:		
						men-	In-		
						sion	no-		
						4.:	va-		
						Open	tion		
						versus	ver-		
						Close:	sus		
						Open	Risk		
						Orga-	Tak-		
						niza-	ing:		
						tion	In-		
							no- va-		
							tion		
							Di-		
							men-		
							sion		
							4.:		
							Open		
							ver-		
							sus		
							Clos		
							e : Open		
							Open		

Or-	Bojad-	The	Elec-	52 partici-	Old	Di-	Di-	Have not	Have not been
gani-	jiev.M.,	Repub-	trical	pants from	o i u	men-	men-	been	investigated
za-	Tomovs-	lic of	Engi-	3 different		sion	sion	investi-	in , congave
tional	ka-Mis-	North	neering	Macedon-		1.:	1.:	gated	
Cul-	oska,A.,	Mace-	sector	ian com-		Deci-	De-	garea	
ture	Ste-	donia	500101	panies.		sion	ci-		
and	fanovska	doma		parites.		mak-	sion		
the	Petkovs-					ing	mak-		
"Voic	ka.M.,					and	ing		
e" of	Miroce-					Behav-	and		
the	vic.B.					ior:	Be-		
Small	VIC.D.					Demo-	hav-		
En-						cratic	ior:		
ter-						behav-	De-		
prises						ior	moc-		
in the						Di-	ratic		
Elec-							be-		
trical						men- sion 2:	hav-		
En-						People	ior Di-		
gi-						versus Task:			
neer-						Tasks	men- sion		
ing							2:		
Ser-						are	Peo-		
vice						com-			
Sec-						pleted	ple		
tor of						throug	ver-		
Mace						h pro-	sus Task:		
donia						motion of care	Tasks		
						for the	are		
						people			
						and	com- plet-		
						build-	ed		
							throu		
						ing	gh		
						posi- tive	pro-		
						work	mo-		
						rela-	tion		
						tion-	of		
						ship. Di-	care for		
						men-	the		
						sion	peo-		
						3.:In-	ple		
						nova-	and		
						tion	build		
						versus	ing		
						Risk-	posi-		
						taking:	tive		
						Focus	work		
						on	rela-		
						stabili-	tion-		
						ty but	ship.		
						at the	Di-		
						same	men-		
						time	sion		
						their	3.:In		
						en-	no-		
						trantan	110		

"A new	Bojad- jiev.M.,	The Repub-	IT sector	22 em- ployees	Old	Di- men-	Di- men-	Have not been	Yes, without tak- ing into consider-
per-	Ste-	lic of	Sector	from soft-		sion	sion	investi-	ation the formal
spec-	fanovska	North		ware		1.:	1.:	gated	part
tive	Petkovs-	Mace-		administra-		Deci-	De-	gated	part
in	ka.M.,	donia		tion and		sion	ci-		
mea-	So-	doma		HR sec-		Mak-	sion		
sur-	lakov.D.			tors, 3		ing	Mak-		
ing	idicov.b.			managers		and	ing		
Or-				and 2 lead-		Behav-	and		
gani-				ers.		ior :	Be-		
za-						Demo-	hav-		
tional						cratic	ior :		
Cul-						behav-	De-		
ture						ior	moc-		
and						Di-	ratic		
Align						men-	be-		
ment						sion	hav-		
in						2.:	ior		
Mace						People	Di-		
don-						versus	men-		
ian						Task:	sion		
com-						People	2.:		
pa-						Di-	Peo-		
nies						men-	ple		
						sion	ver-		
web						3.:	SUS		
based adap-						Inno- vation	Task :		
tation						versus	Peo-		
of						Risk	ple		
Vox						Taking	Di-		
Or-						: Inno-	men-		
gani-						vation	sion		
za-						Di-	3.:		
tions						men-	In-		
"						sion	no-		
						4.:	va-		
						Open	tion		
						versus	ver-		
						Close:	sus		
						Open	Risk		
						Orga-	Tak-		
						niza-	ing:		
						tion	In-		
							no-		
							va-		
							tion		
							Di-		
							men- sion		
							4.:		
							Open		
							ver-		
							sus		
							Clos		
							e :		
							Open		
							Or		

	D : 1	TEN .	TT' 1	70	01.1	Б.	D .	ъ.	3.7
Or-	Bojad-	The	Higher	70 em-	Old	Di-	Di-	Dimen-	No
gani-	jiev.M., Krles-	Repub- lic of	Educa- tion	ployees (65.9% of		men- sion	men- sion	sion 1.: Decision	
za- tional	ka.A.	North	sector	administra-		1.:	1.:	Making	
Align	Ka.A.	Mace-	Sector	tion staff		Deci-	De-	and Be-	
ment		donia		and		sion	ci-	havior:	
in		a comme		57.4% of		Mak-	sion	Democ-	
High				the acade-		ing	Mak-	ratic be-	
er				mic staff		and	ing	havior	
Edu-)		Behav-	and	Dimen-	
ca-				and 2		ior :	Be-	sion 2.:	
tion –				managers		Demo-	hav-	People	
Case						cratic	ior :	versus	
Study						behav-	Bu-	Task:	
of a						ior	reau-	People	
Mace don-						Di-	cratic be-	Dimen- sion3.:	
ian						men- sion	hav-	Innova-	
High						2.:	ior	tion ver-	
er						People	Di-	sus Risk	
Edu-						versus	men-	Taking:	
ca-						Task:	sion	Risk-	
tion						People	2.:	averse	
Insti-						Di-	Peo-	Dimen-	
tution						men-	ple	tion	
						sion3.:	ver-	4.: Open	
						Inno-	sus	versus	
						vation	Task	Close:	
						versus	: D	Open	
						Risk	Peo-	Organi-	
						Taking : Aca-	ple Di-	zation	
						demics	men-		
						gener-	sion		
						ally	3.:		
						believe	In-		
						that	no-		
						the	va-		
						orga-	tion		
						niza-	ver-		
						tion is	sus		
						more	Risk		
						en-	Tak-		
						trepren eurial,	ing : In-		
						the	nov-		
						admin-	ative		
						istra-	Di-		
						tion	men-		
						be-	sion		
						lieves	4.:		
						that	Open		
						the	ver-		
						orga-	sus		
						niza-	Clos		
						tion is	e :		
						gener-	Open		
						ally	Or-		

tional Cul- ka-Mis- ture, oka-Mac- t	Or- gani- za-	Bojad- jiev.M.,	The Republic of	Mar- keting sector	104 full time em- ployees of	Old	Di- men- sion	Have not been	Have not been investi-	Have not been investigated
ture, oska, A., Be- Ste- Ste- Ste- Ste- Ste- Ste- Ste- St		Tomovs-	North		top five			in-		
Be- hav- fanovska fanovska fanovska petkovs- and ka.M., Lead cer- ship in Market- ing Agen cies in Mace donia Maret Mace donia Behav- ior Democratic behav- ior Demokratic behav- ior Di- mension 2.: People Wersus Task: People Di- mension3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to undertake high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
havior de Petkovs- and Petkovs- and Ribars- ki.P. Demo- cratic behavior ling mension acies in Mace donia Mace			donia							
ior and Lead Rehave Rishers Reserving Reservin								ed		
and ka.M., Lead Ribars- er- ki.P. ship in Mar- ket- ing Agen cies in Mace donia Mace donia Mace donia Mace donia Behav- ior: Demo- cratic behav- ior Di- men- sion cies in People versus Task: People Di- men- sion3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.					nia.					
Lead Ribars- er- ki.P. Demo- cratic behav- ior Di- ing Agen cies in Mace donia Di- ing Agen cies in Mace donia Di- ing Agen cies in Mace donia Di- ing in Mace donia Di- ing in Mace donia Di- ing in Mace donia Di- ing in Mace donia Di- ing in men- sion3: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
er- ki.P. ship in Mar- ket- ior Di- ior Di- ior Agen cies iii Mace donia Mace donia Mace to the first state of the first state o										
ship in Mar- Mar- Mar- ket- ing Agen cies 2.: in Mace donia People versus Task: People Di- men- sion3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking: Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
in Market- ing Agen cies in Mace donia Mace donia behav- ior Di- men- sion 2.: People versus Task: People Di- men- sion3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking: Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
keting Agen cies in Mace donia Di- men- sion 2.: People versus Task: People Di- men- sion3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.	in									
ing Agen cies in 2.: in People versus Task: People Di- men- sion3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking: Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
Agen cies in People Wace donia Sion 2.: People versus Task: People Dimension3.: Innovation versus Risk Taking: Innovative, but their willing-ness to under take high risks is at lower level.										
cies in Mace donia 2.: People versus Task: People Di-mension3.: Innovation versus Risk Taking: Innovative, but their willing-ness to undertake high risks is at lower level.										
in Mace donia People versus Task: People Di- mension3.: Innovation versus Risk Taking : Innovative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
Mace donia Versus Task : People Di-mension3.: Innovation versus Risk Taking : Innovative, but their willing-ness to undertake high risks is at lower level.										
donia Task: People Di- men- sion3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
Di- men- sion3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
mension3.: Innovation versus Risk Taking : Innovative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
sion3.: Innovation versus Risk Taking : Innovative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
Innovation versus Risk Taking : Innovative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
Risk Taking : Innovative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
Taking : Innovative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
vative, but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
but their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
their will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
will- ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
ing- ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
ness to under- take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
take high risks is at low- er lev- el.										
high risks is at lower level.										
risks is at low-er lev-el.							take			
at low- er lev- el.										
er lev- el.										
el.										
							el.			
							4.:			
Open										
versus										
Close:										
Open Orga-										
niza-										
tion										

"Or- gani-	Bojad- jiev.M.,	The Repub-	Good indus-	50 em- ployees	Old	Di- men-	Di- men-	Have not been	Yes, without tak- ing into consider-
za-	Tomovs-	lic of	try	including		sion	sion	investi-	ation the formal
tional	ka-Mis-	North	sector	the leader.		1.:	1.:	gated	part
align	oska,A.,	Mace-				Deci-	De-		
ment	Ste-	donia				sion	ci-		
in the	fanovska					Mak-	sion		
food	Petkovs-					ing	Mak-		
in-	ka.M.,					and	ing		
dus-	Nau-					Behav- ior :	and Be-		
try:	movs- ki.S.					Demo-	hav-		
study	KI.S.					cratic	ior:		
of a						behav-	De-		
com-						ior	moc-		
pany						Di-	ratic		
in						men-	be-		
Mace						sion	hav-		
do-						2.:	ior		
nia"						People	Di-		
						versus	men-		
						Task : People	sion 2.:		
						Di-	Peo-		
						men-	ple		
						sion	ver-		
						3.:	sus		
						Inno-	Task		
						vation	:		
						versus	Peo-		
						Risk	ple		
						Taking : Inno-	Di- men-		
						vation	sion		
						Di-	3.:		
						men-	In-		
						sion	no-		
						4.:	va-		
						Open	tion		
						versus	ver-		
						Close:	sus		
						Open	Risk Tak-		
						Orga- niza-	ing:		
						tion	Ing .		
							no-		
							va-		
							tion		
							Di-		
							men-		
							sion		
							4.:		
							Open ver-		
							sus		
							Clos		
							e:		
							Open		
							Or		

gani- za- tional cul- ture, be- hav- ior and lead- er- ship in Tetra Pak orga- niza- tion	jiev.M., Tomovs- ka-Mis- oska,A., Ste- fanovska Petkovs- ka.M., Shindilov ski.D.	Republic of North Macedonia	indus-try sector	agerial and non managerial employees		mension 1.: Decision Making and Behavior: Democratic behavior Dimension 2.: People versus Task: People Dimension 3.: Innovation versus Risk Taking: Innovation versus Close: Open Organization	mension 1.: Decision Mak- ing and Be- hav- ior: De- moc- ratic be- hav- ior Di- mension 2.: Peo- ple ver- sus Task : Peo- ple Di- mension 3.: In- no- va- tion ver- sus Risk Tak- ing: In- no- va- tion ver- sus Clos e: Open	been investi- gated	ing into consideration the formal part
--	--	-----------------------------	------------------	---	--	--	---	---------------------	--

Ortonous lic of tional Align of the ganibality of tional as a model of of sustain in the public is section in the public ic of opment in the public ic of Mace donia as a model of of ment in the public ic of Mace donia as a model of of in the public ic of Mace donia as a model of of in the public ic of Mace donia as a model of in the public ic of Mace donia as a model of in the public of Mace donia as a model of in the public ic of Mace donia as a model of in the public of in the public of Mace donia as a model of in the public o			 - · · ·	01.1				a
tional soka.A., Machement in the public of Mace donia mani A. lin mani A. lin donia mani A. donia mani A. lin mani A. lin donia lin mani A. lin donia mani A. lin mani A. lin donia lin mani A. lin donia mani A. lin mani A. lin donia lin mani A. lin donia lin lin lin lin donia lin lin lin lin lin lin lin lin lin lin				Old		1		Satisfactory
tional ka-Mis- Align oska.A., mach ment Li- as a mod- el of sus- tain- able de- vel- op- ment in the pub- lic of sree- lic see- tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace donia The Re- pub- lic of Mace and ing behavior behavior ing ing tor: lic behavior ci- havior: behav- lor versus beha			sector					
Align oska.A., mani.A. el donia mani.A. el of sion mani.A. el of sus-tain-able de-vel-op-ment in the public sec-tor in The Re-public of Mace donia mani.A. el of mani.A. el of mani.A. el of sus-tain-able de-vel-op-ment in the public sec-tor in The Re-public of Mace donia mani.A. el of mani.A. el								
ment as a model of of sustainable deed evelopement in the public of in The Republic of Mace donia Mace donia Mak sion Mak sion Mak cratic behave ratic behavior Behave ior: Beson 2: Demo-bave behave people ratic men-beson Max in mova-beson Max in mova-baye ior more ratic men-beson Max in mova-baye ior ment in the public of Mace donia Mak sion Mak cratic behave ratic might in men-beson Max in mova-baye ior more people Di-wersus Task: sion People Di-wersus Task: sion People Di-men-ple sion Max is men-ple sion Max in mova-baye wersus Inno-baye wersus Inno-vation: versus Peo-Open Open versus no-Close: valopen of men-ple sion Max is max in mova-baye ion Max is moversus peo-Open of men-ple sion Max is moversus peo-Open of men-ple sion Max is moversus no-Close: valopen of men-ple sion Max is men-ple sion Max is moversus no-close is versus latin men-ple sion Max is moversus no-close is versus latin men-ple sion Max is moversus no-close is versus latin men-ple sion Max is moversus no-close is versus latin men-ple sion Max is men-ple sion 2. Task is men-ple sion 2. Task is men-ple sion 3. Task is men-						1		
mode el of sus- tain- tain- able de- de- vel- op- ment in the pub- lic sec- tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace donia mig Mak- Behav- and Dimmen- sion 2: Demo- behav- ior moc- Di- ratic Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Dimen- sion 3: Linnova- tion ver- sus Risk versus Di- men- ple sion 4: Dimen- sion 3: Unnova- tion ver- Sion 4: Dimen- sion 3: Unnova- tion Dimen- sion 4: Dimen- sus Risk Taking: Innova- tion Open versus Dimen- sion 4: Open Nersus Peo- Open Versus Dimen- sion 4: Open Open Versus Open In- versus Open Organ- zation Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
el of sus- tain- able tain- able de- versus de- vel- vel- vel- vel- vel- vel- vel- ve	as a	mani.A.						
sus- tain- able de- vel- op- ment in the pub- lic of Mace donia M						1		
tain-able de- de- vel- op- ment in the pub- lic sec- tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace donia Inno Mace donia Inno Mace donia Inno								
able de- vel- vel- op- ment in the pub- lic sec- tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace donia And the pub- lic of Mace Coratic tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace Coratic tor in the pub- lic of Mace Coratic tor in the pub- lic of Mace Coratic tor in the pub- lic of Mace Coratic tor in the pub- lic of Mace Coratic tor in trait to Di- men- sion hav- sion hav- sion sus Risk Taking: Innova- tion ver sus Risk Taking to Di- men- sion ver- sus Risk Taking Di- men- sion ver- sion ver- sion Ver- Open Versus Close: Open Organi- zation Tak: Open Organi- zation Nesser- va- Open Organi- zation Tak: Innova- tion ver- sus Risk Taking Di- men- sion 4.: Open Organi- zation Tak: Innova- tion ver- sus Risk Taking Di- men- sion 4.: Open Organi- zation Tak: Innova- tion ver- sion 1. Versus Close: Va- Open Organi- zation Taks: Innova- tion ver- sion 1. Taks: Tak: Innova- tion ver- sion 2. Taking: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Sus Close: Va- Open Organi- zation Taking: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Risk Taking Di- men- sion 4.: Open Organi- zation Taking: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Organi- zation Taking Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Open Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Sion A.: Taking: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Open Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Sion 4.: Open Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Sion 4.: Open Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Taking Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Taking Innova- tion ver- sion 3.: Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Taking Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Open Versus Innova- tion ver- sion 4.: Open Open Versus I								
de-vel-vel-pop-per behav-pop-per behav-pop-per people Di-per pub-pub-pub-pub-pub-pub-pub-pub-pub-pub-								
vel- op- ment in the pub- lic sec- tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace donia Mace donia Mace Cope Mace Cope Mace Cope Mace Cope Cope Cope Cope Cope Cope Cope Cop						1		
op- ment in the pub- lic sec- tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace donia Innova- tion Innova- ti								
Di-								
pub- lic sec- tor in The Re- pub- lic of Mace donia Inno- donia Sion hav- it ion ver- sus Risk Taking: Innova- tion Di- men- ple Di- men- sion Task: sion Di- peo- ple Di- men- sion Task: sion Dimen- sion ver- Open Versus Inno- Task Close: Open Organi- versus Close: Va- Open Orga- niza- tion Navion Versus Close: Versus Close: Open Organi- ple versus Close: Versus Close: Open Organi- ple versus Close: Va- Open Versus Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Versus Close: Va- Open Versus Versus Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Close: Versus Vers					Di-	ratic		
lic sector in The Republic of Mace donia Section People versus mental sion Taking : Ta						1		
sector in The Republic of Mace donia The Respublic of Peologic of Dimension 4.: The Respublic of Peologic of Dimension 4.: The Respublic of Mace donia The Re						1		
tor in The Re- Re- pub- lic of Mace donia Versus								
The Republic of Mace donia Task: sion Innovation People 2.: tion Di-People Sion 4.: sion ver-Open Sion ver-Open Sion 4.: sion versus Inno-Versus People Risk ple Taking Di-Inde-Cisive Sion 4.: Sion Sion Versus Inno-Versus No-Close: va-Open Inversus No-Close: va-Open Insurant Size Sion Versus No-Close: va-Open Insurant Size Sion Versus No-Close: va-Open Insurant Size Size Sion Versus No-Close: va-Open Insurant Size Size Size Size Size Size Size Size						1		
Republic of Mace donia Republic of Mace donia Republic of Mace donia Republic of Mace donia Republic of Di- Peo- Dimenple sion 4.: Open versus Inno- Task Close: Open Versus Risk Taking Di- Peo- Dimenple Sion 4.: Open Innoversus Close: Open Versus Close: Open Tion Organiza- Sus Sus Taking: Innov- Assistance Tion Open Tion Open Versus Close: Open Tion Open Versus Close: Open Tion Open Tion Open Versus Sus Sus Sus Sus Sus Sus Sus Sus Sus								
pub- lic of Mace donia Di- men- men- sion ver- Open 3.: sus versus Inno- vation: vation: vation lind- men- cisive sion 4.: 3.: Open In- versus no- Close: Va- Open Orga- ver- niza- niza- niza- tion Di- sion 4.: Open Organ- versus No- Close: Va- Open Orga- ver- niza- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
lic of Mace donia men- sion ver- Open versus versu								
donia S.: Sus Versus					men-	ple	sion 4.:	
Innovation versus Peo- Open Organization Indecisive sion 4.: 3.: Open Inversus no- Close: va- Open tion Organization Open tion Organization Organization Risk ple zation Indecisive sion versus no- Close: va- Open tion Organization Organization Organization						1		
vation versus Peo- Risk ple Taking Di- : Inde- cisive sion 4.: 3.: Open In- versus no- Close: va- Open ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative	donia							
versus Risk ple zation Risk Taking Di- zation Inde- cisive sion 4.: 3.: Open In- versus no- Close: va- Open tion Orga- verniza- sus tion Risk Taking Di- zation Marcological ple zation In- versus no- Close in no- versus no- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Taking ing: In- nov- ative						1		
Risk Taking Di- Inde- men- cisive sion 4.: Open In- versus no- Close: va- Open tion Orga- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
Taking Di- : Inde- cisive sion 4.: 3.: Open In- versus no- Close: va- Open tion Orga- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative						1		
cisive sion 4.: 3.: Open In- versus no- Close: va- Open tion Orga- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
4.: 3.: Open In- versus no- Close: va- Open tion Orga- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative						1		
Open In- versus no- Close: va- Open tion Orga- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
versus no- Close: va- Open tion Orga- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
Close: va- Open tion Orga- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative						1		
Open tion Orga- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative						1		
Orga- ver- niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
niza- sus tion Risk Tak- ing: In- nov- ative								
Taking: In- nov- ative					niza-	sus		
ing: In- nov- ative					tion			
In- nov- ative								
nov- ative								
ative						1		
						Di-		
men-								
sion								
4.: Open								
ver-								
sus								
Clos								
e:						e :		
Open Open								
Or- goni								

gani- f za- I tional k Align A	Ste- fanovska Petkovs- ka.M., Arsovs- ka.A.	The Republic of North Macedonia	Wood furniture sector	100 employees	Old	Di- men- sion 1.: Deci- sion Mak- ing and Behav- ior: Demo- cratic behav- ior Di- men- sion 2.: People versus Task: Task Di- men- sion 3.: Inno- vation versus Risk Taking : Inno- vative Di- men- sion 4.: Open	Have not been in-ves-tigat-ed	Have not been investigated	Have not been investigated
---	---	---------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------	-----	--	-------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The main aim of the research was to investigate the organizational culture in companies in high rate polluted countries. In order to conduct an investigation into the organizational cultures, the model of VOX Organizationis was implemented in several industries.

Organizational culture in companies in high rate polluted countries.

The following segment of the discussion will focus on the organizational culture within different industries in the Republic of North Macedonia, country that shows high pollution rate.

As it was presented in the results section, the findings from the research suggested that the employees from companies in different industries such as communication technology, IT, marketing agencies, food industry, higher education and public sector, perceive that their companies are more people oriented, where people employed in wood furniture industry work in "task oriented" companies. More specifically, the results indicated that the employees in all explored industries feel that the companies encourage openness in decision making, consultations with employees in regards to work-life balance opportunities, timely feedback and care for the work place conditions. The organizational cultures were described as open, thus they stimulate the collaboration within and outside of the company. The overall culture of companies operating in the communication sector, IT, food industry, higher education sector and public sector were described as entrepreneurial democracy.

The entrepreneurial orientation in higher education sector is a subject of investigation because contrary to the faculty staff, the administrative staff perceive the organizations as more risk-averse. Moreover, although employees in the marketing agencies in Macedonia achieve high level of innovation, their willingness to undertake risks is at lower level.

On the other hand, in the companies in electrical engineering industry, tasks are completed through promotion of care for the people and building positive work relationship and their focus is on stability but at the same time their entrepreneurial orientation and the appreciation of original ideas are on the rise. Their culture is perceived as moderate towards high internal and external openness.

There are several studies that analyzed the organizational culture within different industries using OCAI (Cameron and Quinn, 2011). In general, sectors such as technology driven industries, communication and sustainability are highly influenced by adhocracy culture. Industries such as health care, education, non profit organizations and government agencies stimulate clan culture. The government, banking and insurance, transportation, medicine and military sectors are en-

couraged by hierarchy culture, while the consultancy, accountancy, manufacturing, sales and marketing sectors are stimulating market culture.

Organizational culture and Leadership in companies in high rate polluted countries.

The following segment of the discussion will focus on the leadership type within different industries in the Republic of North Macedonia, country that shows high pollution rate.

As it was presented in the results section, the findings from the research suggested that the leaders within the communication sector, IT, food industry and public sector were consider their leadership style as "Jack Welch" leadership types which is defined by the entrepreneurial democratic organizational culture.

The leaders in electrical engineering industry do share the same perception with their employees, proving that the tasks are completed through promotion of care for the people and building positive work relationship and their focus is on stability but at the same time their entrepreneurial orientation and the appreciation of original ideas are on the rise.

On contrary to this, the democratic approach that the employees in higher-education sector does not correspond with the perception of bureaucratic approach that leaders in the same industry encourage.

Organizational alignment in companies in high rate polluted countries.

As mentioned before, the VOX Organization measures the full alignment through investigating the organizational culture, leadership and the formal part of the company. Unfortunately, the formal part for most of these researches should be subject under investigation.

In the communication industry is the only "non-aligned" dimension is the personal opinion of the owners and leaders of the company regarding the decision making and behavior dimension. The formal part projected more bureaucratic type of company, where the employees and leaders agree that the company functions in more democratic way.

In the higher education sector, the formal part shows that the organizations stimulate democratic approach, while the leaders perceive the organizations as using bureaucratic approach. The risk-averse orientation according to the formal part records, although correspond with perception of

the administrative staff, the same does not correspond with the innovative perception of leaders and faculty staff.

Another confusion is within the public sector, where the organizational formal part stimulates a bureaucratic approach in decision making dimension, while both, the employees and leaders, encourage democratic approach in the same dimension.

In conclusion, although in almost every company in different industries, the perception of the employees and leaders are well aligned, when it comes to the formal part, it seems that their opinions do not fully correspond to any formal document, including but not limited to policies, procedures, strategic plans and the like that might influence the behavior.

LIMITATION OF THE RESEARCH

The limitation of this study are excepted. One of the main limitation is the risk of social desirability bias. Although the respondents are anonymous, still the level of honesty should not be taken for granted. Another risk is not knowing whether the respondents are representative of the total population in a specific industry. The last limitation is the demographic location of the respondents. This research was investigated only in the Republic of North Macedonia, and therefore the additional studies should provide more comprehensible analysis of such variables.

CONCLUSION

Although, the academic literature contains an extensive discussion on the organizational culture and alignment, there is an gap while implementing the same. At the same time, this discussion provides an overview of some of the strengths and limitations of the available literature.

With regard to the identified organizational culture types in different industries across the Republic of North Macedonia, the opinions are divided when it comes to culture characteristics. Moreover, there is a lack of research with regard to all components of the organizational alignment.

The research findings suggest that mostly, the employees and their leaders consider organizational culture to be placed on entrepreneurial democracy, meaning that the organizations in the investigated industries are mostly, described as open and initiator for collaboration inside and outside of the company. The findings from this study also have confirmed that the companies should not only recognize the advantages from organizational culture, leadership and alignment, but also use them as a tool for producing more satisfied and productive workforce.

References

Bakhsh Magsi, H., Ong, T.S.;,Ho, J.A.; Sheikh Hassan, A.F. (2018). Organizational Culture and Environmental Performance. *Sustainability*, *10*, 2690.

Bakacsi Gy. (1998). Szervezeti magatartás és vezetés (Organisational behaviour and leadership), Economic and Legal Publishing House, Budapest.

Bojadjiev,M. (2019).Development of Instrument for Measurement of the Organizational Alignment - from Vox Organizationis to "Four Organizational Culture Types and Four Leadership Styles" .Universal Journal of Management, 7(5), p.186-199. DOI: 10.13189/ujm.2019.070504

Bojadziev,M, Tomovska,A,Stefanovska,M and Nikolovska.(2011)Vox Organizationis – Theoretical basis and methodological considerations in the development of an instrument for organizational culture,MPRA,Munich Personal RePEc Archive,October,2011

Cameron, K. S. and Quinn, R. E. (2011) Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture: Based on the Competing Values Framework. John Wiley & Dons Ltd.

Costantini, V. and Monni, S.(2008). Environment, human development and economic growth, *Ecological Economics*, Vol. 64 (1), pp. 867-880.

Harris.L.C., Crane.A.(2002). The greening of organizational culture: Management views on the depth, degree and diffusion of change. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 15(3), p. 214-234

Harrison, R.(1972). Understanding Your Organization's Culture. Harvard Business Review, 50(3), p.119-128.

Hofmeister-Tóth Á./Tör csik M. (1996). Fogyasztói magatartás (Consumer behaviour), National Textbook Publishing, Budapest.

Hofstede, G.(1997) Cultures and Organizations: Software of the mind, London: McGraw-Hill.

Kerekes S.,Baranyi A.,Kovács E./Nemcsicsné Zsóka A./Zilahy Gy. (1999). A hazai vállalatok környezeti teljesítményének értékelése (Assessment of domestic companies' environmental performance), Green Entrance Program, CUB, Department of Environmental Economics and Technology, Budapest.

Moore G. (1999): Corporate Moral Agency: Review and Implications, in: Journal of Business Ethics, 21, 4, 329-343.

Nemcsicsné, Z.Á. (2007). The role of organisational culture in the environmental awareness of companies, Journal for East European Management Studies, ISSN 0949-6181, Hampp, Mering, Vol. 12, Iss. 2, pp. 109-131

Ogbonna, E.O., Harris, L.C. (2000). Leadership style, organizational culture and performance: empirical evidence from UK companies. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11(4), p. 766-788. 10.1080/09585190050075114

Peters, T., Waterman, R. (1982), In search of excellence. Lessons from America's best-run Companies, Harper Collins Business, London

Schein, E.H. (2004) Organisational Culture and Leadership. San Francisco: Jossey Bass.

Sharma.B., Gani.A. (2009). The Effect of the Business Environment on Pollution. Conference: 19th International conference of the International Trade and Finance Association, At http://services.bepress.com/itfa/19th/art6, Volume: 2009, Paper 6

United Nations Development Program.(2007). *Human Development Report 2007/2008*, United Nations, New York.

Wilson, J.S., Otsuki, T., Sewadeh, M. (2002). Dirty exports and environmental regulation: do standards matter to trade? (English). Policy, Research working paper series; no. WPS 2806. Washington, DC: World Bank.